# The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

# STATE ELECTION OFFICIAL

Penalty for willfully defacing, tearing down, removing or destroying a List of Candidates or Specimen Ballot - fine not exceeding One Hundred Dollars.

## **SPECIMEN BALLOT**

EAST LONGMEADOW

Pct. 1

766/766

## Tuesday, November 6, 2018

To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval 
to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write the person's name and residence in the blank space provided and fill in the oval.

| CENATOD IN CONCRECE  | REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS   | OUESTION 1  |
|--|--|---|
| SENATOR IN CONGRESS Vote for ONE   | FIRST DISTRICT Vote for UNE  | QUESTION 1<br>Law proposed by initiativi  |
| ELIZABETH A. WARREN+++++++ Democratic 24 Linnaean St., Cambridge Candidate for Re-election | RICHARD E. NEAL++++++++ Democratic 36 Atwater Ter, Springfield Candidate for Re-election           | PETITION  Do you approve of a law summarized below  |
| GEOFF DIEHL +++++++++++ Republican (   | DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.                                      | which no vote was taken by the Senate or the Ho   |
| SHIVA AYYADURAI +++++++independent (   |  | of Representatives on or before May 2, 2018?  |
| 69 Snake Hill Rd., Belmont DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.                                      | WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY  | This proposed law would limit how many patie  |
| USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.   |  | could be assigned to each registered nurse<br>Massachusetts hospitals and certain other he                    |
| WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY  | COUNCILLOR   | care facilities. The maximum number of patie  |
|  | COUNCILLOR EIGHTH DISTRICT Vote for ONE  | per registered nurse would vary by type of<br>and level of care, as follows:                                  |
| GOVERNOR   | MARY E. HURLEY ++++++++++ Democratic 15 Fields Dr., East Longmeadow Candidate for Re-election      | <ul> <li>In units with step-down/intermediate of patients: 3 patients per nurse;</li> </ul>                   |
| AND LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR  | MIKE FRANCO ++++++ Massachusetts Independent 7 Primrose Ln., Holyoke                               | In units with post-anesthesia care  |
| BAKER and POLITO Republican  | DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.                                     | operating room patients: 1 patient ur<br>anesthesia per nurse; 2 patients post-anesth                         |
| GONZALEZ and PALFREY++++++ Democratic  |  | per nurse;  |
| DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.   | . WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY  | <ul> <li>In the emergency services department<br/>critical or intensive care patient per nurse (or</li> </ul> |
| USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.   |  | the nurse has assessed each patient's condition   |
| WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY  | OFNATOR IN CENERAL COURT   | stable); 2 urgent non-stable patients per nurs<br>urgent stable patients per nurse; or 5 non-urg              |
|  | SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT<br>FIRST HAMPDEN & HAMPSHIRE DISTRICT Vote for ONE                        | stable patients per nurse;  In units with maternity patients: (a) ac  |
|  | ERIC P. LESSER +++++++++++ Democratic 41 Dover Rd., Longmeadow Candidate for Re-election           | labor patients: 1 patient per nurse; (b) during b   |
| ATTORNEY GENERAL Vote for ONE  | DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.                                      | and for up to two hours immediately postpart<br>1 mother per nurse and 1 baby per nurse;                      |
| MAURA HEALEY+++++++++ Democratic   |  | when the condition of the mother and baby   |
| JAMES R. McMAHON, III +++++ Republican   | WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY  | determined to be stable: 1 mother and her b<br>or babies per nurse; (d) postpartum: 6 patie                   |
| 14 Canal View Rd., Bourne DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.                                       |  | per nurse; (e) intermediate care or continu   |
| USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.   | REPRESENTATIVE IN  | care babies: 2 babies per nurse; (f) well-bab<br>6 babies per nurse;  |
| WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY  | GENERAL COURT TWELFTH HAMPDEN DISTRICT  Vote for ONE   | <ul> <li>In units with pediatric, medical, surgi</li> </ul>   |
|  | ANGELO J. PUPPOLO, JR. +++++ Democratic 44 South Shore Dr., Springfield  Candidate for Re-election | telemetry, or observational/outpatient treatment patients, or any other unit: 4 patients per nurse;           |
|  | DONALD J. FLANNERY+++++++Independent 3 Springfield St., Wilbraham                                  | <ul> <li>In units with psychiatric or rehabilital<br/>patients: 5 patients per nurse.</li> </ul>              |
| SECRETARY OF STATE   | DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.   | The proposed law would require a cover  |
| WILLIAM FRANCIS GALVIN+++++ Democratic   | USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.   | facility to comply with the patient assignment limits without reducing its level of nursing, services.        |
| ANTHONY M. AMORE+++++++ Republican   | WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY  | maintenance, clerical, professional, and other st   |
| 182 Norfolk Ave., Swampscott   | _  | The proposed law would also require endouvered facility to develop a written patient ac                       |
| JUAN G. SANCHEZ, JR. ++++++ Green-Rainbow (362 High St., Holyoke                           | DISTRICT ATTORNEY  | tool for each unit to evaluate the condition of e   |
| DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.                              | DISTRICT ATTORNEY HAMPDEN DISTRICT Vote for ONE  | patient. This tool would be used by nurses deciding whether patient limits should be lower to                 |
| WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY  | ANTHONY D. GULLUNI+++++++ Democratic 39 Ashbrook St., Springfield Candidate for Re-election        | the limits of the proposed law at any given time.   |
| WILLE-IN SPACE UNLI  | DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.                                      | The proposed law would not override<br>contract in effect on January 1, 2019 that                             |
|  |  | higher patient limits. The proposed law's lir<br>would take effect after any such contract expir              |
| TREASURER  | WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY  | The state Health Policy Commiss   |
| Vote for ONE   |  | would be required to promulgate regulations<br>implement the proposed law. The Commiss                        |
| DEBORAH B. GOLDBERG++++++ Democratic 37 Hyslop Rd., Brookline Candidate for Re-election    | OI EDIX OF COLIDTS   | could conduct inspections to ensure complia   |
| KEIKO M. ORRALL ++++++++ Republican (120 Crooked Ln., Lakeville                            | CLERK OF COURTS HAMPDEN COUNTY Vote for ONE  | with the law. Any facility receiving written no<br>from the Commission of a complaint or a viola              |
| JAMIE M. GUERIN +++++++++Green-Rainbow (386 Pleasant St., Northampton                      | LAURA S. GENTILE +++++++++ Democratic 101 Pinecrest Dr., Springfield Candidate for Re-election     | would be required to submit a written compliangular to the Commission. The Commission of                      |
| DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.                              | DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.<br>USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.                                   | report violations to the state Attorney Gene  |
|  |  | who could file suit to obtain a civil penalty of<br>to \$25,000 per violation as well as up to \$25,          |
| WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY  | WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY  | for each day a violation continued after  |
|  |  | Commission notified the covered facility of<br>violation. The Health Policy Commission would                  |
| AUDITOD  | REGISTER OF DEEDS  | required to establish a toll-free telephone num   |
| AUDITOR  Vote for ONE  | HAMPDEN DISTRICT Vote for UNE  | for complaints and a website where complain<br>compliance plans, and violations would appear                  |
| SUZANNE M. BUMP ++++++++ Democratic 6 Hoe Shop St., Easton Candidate for Re-election       | MARIE ANGELIDES ++++++++ Republican 199 Tanglewood Dr., Longmeadow                                 | The proposed law would prohibit discip  |
| HELEN BRADY ++++++++ Republican  | CHERYL COAKLEY-RIVERA +++++ Democratic 67 Rowland St., Springfield                                 | or retaliation against any employee for comply<br>with the patient assignment limits of the law.              |
| DANIEL FISHMAN ++++++++Libertarian (   | DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.                                     | proposed law would require every covered factory to post within each unit, patient room, and wai              |
| 36 Colgate Rd., Béverly  EDWARD J. STAMAS++++++++ Green-Rainbow (                          |  | area a notice explaining the patient limits and   |
| 42 Laurei Park, Northampton DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.                                     | WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY  | to report violations. Each day of a facility's r<br>compliance with the posting requirement we                |
| USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.   | _   .  | be punishable by a civil penalty between \$   |
| WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY  |  | and \$2,500. The proposed law's requirements would  |
|  |  | suspended during a state or nationally declar   |
|  |  | public health emergency.  The proposed law states that, if any or   |
|  |  | parts were declared invalid, the other parts we   |
|  |  | stay in effect. The proposed law would take ef  |

v, on ouse

ents ents unit

- care
- nder esia
- on as se; 3 rgent
- ctive birth tum: ; (c) v are baby ients uing pies:
- ical. nent and tion

vered ment rvice, staff. every cuity each es in than

any

t set imits ired. ssion as to ssion ance otice ation ance could heral, of up f,000 the ff the mber aints,

ear.
pline
lying
. The
cility
atting
I how
nonvould
\$250

d be ared

of its rould effect

stay in effect. The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2019.

A YES VOTE would limit the number of patients that could be assigned to one registered nurse in thospitals and certain other health care facilities.

A NO VOTE would make no change in current laws relative to patient-to-nurse limits.

YES YES

NO

#### QUESTION 2 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 2, 2018? SUMMARY

This proposed law would create a citizens commission to consider and recommend potential amendments to the United States Constitution to establish that corporations do not have the same Constitutional rights as human beings and that campaign contributions and expenditures may be regulated.

Any resident of Massachusetts who is a United States citizen would be able to apply for appointment to the 15-member commission, and members would serve without compensation. The Governor, the Secretary of the Commonwealth, the state Attorney General, the Speaker of the state House of Representatives, and the President of the state Senate would each appoint three members of the commission and, in making these appointments, would seek to ensure that the commission reflects a range of geographic, political, and demographic backgrounds.

The commission would be required to research and take testimony, and then issue a report regarding (1) the impact of political spending in Massachusetts; (2) any limitations on the state's ability to regulate corporations and other entities in light of Supreme Court decisions that allow corporations to assert certain constitutional rights; (3) recommendations for constitutional amendments; (4) an analysis of constitutional amendments introduced to Congress; and (5) recommendations for advancing proposed amendments to the United States Constitution.

The commission would be subject to the state Open Meeting Law and Public Records Law. The commission's first report would be due December 31, 2019, and the Secretary of the Commonwealth would be required to deliver the commission's report to the state Legislature, the United States Congress, and the President of the United States.

The proposed law states that, if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect. The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2019. A YES VOTE would create a citizens commission to advance an amendment to the United States Constitution to limit the influence of money in elections and establish that corporations do not have the same rights as human beings.

YES

NO

A NO VOTE would not create this commission.

### QUESTION 3 REFERENDUM ON AN EXISTING LAW

Do you approve of a law summarized below, which was approved by the House of Representatives and the Senate on July 7, 2016? **SUMMARY** 

This law adds gender identity to the list of prohibited grounds for discrimination in places of public accommodation, resort, or amusement. Such grounds also include race, color, religious creed, national origin, sex, disability, and ancestry. A "place of public accommodation, resort or amusement" is defined in existing law as any place that is open to and accepts or solicits the patronage of the general public, such as hotels, stores, restaurants, theaters, sports facilities, and hospitals. "Gender identity" is defined as a person's sincerely held gender-related identity, appearance, or behavior, whether or not it is different from that traditionally associated with the person's physiology or assigned sex at birth.

This law prohibits discrimination based on gender identity in a person's admission to or treatment in any place of public accommodation. The law requires any such place that has separate areas for males and females (such as restrooms) to allow access to and full use of those areas consistent with a person's gender identity. The law also prohibits the owner or manager of a place of public accommodation from using advertising or signage that discriminates on the basis of gender identity.

This law directs the state Commission Against Discrimination to adopt rules or policies and make recommendations to carry out this law. The law also directs the state Attorney General to issue regulations or guidance on referring for legal action any person who asserts gender identity for an improper purpose.

The provisions of this law governing access to places of public accommodation are effective as of October 1, 2016. The remaining provisions are effective

as of July 8, 2016.

A YES VOTE would keep in place the current law, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender identity in places of public accommodation. YES

A NO VOTE would repeal this provision of the public accommodation law.

NO